

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/721,080	11/26/2003	Hiroyuki Ohta	032117	7846
38834	7590 01/04/2006		EXAMINER	
WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP			TRAN, LONG K	
1250 CONN SUITE 700	ECTICUT AVENUE, NV	V	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	TON, DC 20036		2818	
			DATE MAILED: 01/04/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			H.
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/721,080	OHTA, HIROYUKI	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Long K. Tran	2818	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 C	October 2005.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under b	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 49	53 O.G. 213. ्	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 15 - 19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 15 - 19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	is have been received. Is have been received in Applicati Irity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	» —	(870,442)	
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) [] Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/6/05, 10/18/05.		Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after allowance or after an Office action under *Ex Parte Quayle*, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213 (Comm'r Pat. 1935). Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 18, 2005 has been entered.

Information Disclosure Statement

This office acknowledges of the following items from the Applicant:
 Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) filed on September 06, 2005 and on
 October 18, 2005.

The references cited on the PTO -1449 form have been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims **15 19** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ahn Dong Hul (Japan Publication No. 2002-203895) in view of Heo et al. (US Patent Publication No. 6,683,354).

Regarding claim 15, Ahn Dong Hul discloses a semiconductor device comprising:

Art Unit: 2818

a semiconductor substrate 100 (fig. 10);

an isolation trench 21 (figs. 1 – 10) formed under a surface of said semiconductor substrate 10;

a liner of a silicon nitride film 107 (figs. 8 – 10; [0025]) covering a lower inner surface of said isolation trench, wherein said liner of a silicon nitride film is retracted below the surface of said semiconductor substrate ([0026] - [0028];

a first oxide film 129 (figs. 8 – 10; [0029] – [0030]) formed in a region surrounded by said liner of the silicon nitride film and burying a lower region of said isolation trench;

a second oxide film 139 (fig. 10; [0031] - [0033]) formed on said first silicon oxide film and burying an upper region of said isolation trench; and active regions defined by said isolation trench.

Ahn Dong Hul does not teach the first and second oxide films are silicon oxide films.

However, Heo shows a first silicon oxide layer 23 (fig. 6) deposited on a silicon nitride liner and filling a lower part of trench 20, and a second silicon oxide layer 27(fig. 6) filling the upper part of the trench.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the first and the second oxide films of Ahn Dong Hul's device with a first and second silicon oxide films as taught by Heo, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use.

Regarding claim **16**, Ahn Dong Hul and Heo disclose the claimed invention of claim 15 except for the liner of the silicon nitride film is retracted below the surface of said semiconductor substrate by 80 nm to 150 nm.

However, it would have been well known in the art that the selection of those parameters such as energy, concentration, temperature, time, molar fraction, depth, width, thickness, etc., would have been obvious and involve routine optimization which has been held to be within the level of ordinary skill in the art. "Normally, it is to be expected that a change in energy, concentration, temperature, time, molar fraction, depth, width, thickness, etc., or in conbination of the parameters would be an unpatentable modification. Under some circumstances, however, changes such as these may impart patentability to a process if the particular ranges claimed produce a new and unexpected result which is different in kind and not merely degree from the results of the prior art ... such ranges are termed "critical ranges and the applicant has the burden of proving such criticality.... More particularly, where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation."

Regarding claim 17, Ahn Dong Hul and Heo disclose the silicon nitride layer having a thickness of 20 nm - 50 nm (Heo; column 3, lines 46 - 51).

Regarding claim **18**, Ahn Dong Hul and Heo disclose the second silicon oxide film covers a corner of the active region (interpreted broadly; Ahn Dong Hul; fig. 10).

Regarding claim **19**, Ahn Dong Hul and Heo disclose the trench having a width of 120 nm (Heo; column 1, lines 43 – 44) but fail to teach the width is 100 nm or narrower.

However, it would have been well known in the art that the selection of those parameters such as energy, concentration, temperature, time, molar fraction, depth, width, thickness, etc., would have been obvious and involve routine optimization which has been held to be within the level of ordinary skill in the art. "Normally, it is to be expected that a change in energy, concentration, temperature, time, molar fraction, depth, width, thickness, etc., or in conbination of the parameters would be an unpatentable modification. Under some circumstances, however, changes such as these may impart patentability to a process if the particular ranges claimed produce a new and unexpected result which is different in kind and not merely degree from the results of the prior art ... such ranges are termed "critical ranges and the applicant has the burden of proving such criticality.... More particularly, where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation."

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Long K. Tran whose telephone number is 571-272-1797. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on 571-272-1787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/721,080

Art Unit: 2818

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LARA

LKT

December 18, 2005